

Fuzzy dimensions and Planck's Uncertainty Principle for p -branes

Antonio Aurilia[†], Stefano Ansoldi^{‡§}, Euro Spallucci^{‡¶}

[‡]Department of Physics
California State Polytechnic University
Pomona, CA 91768

Abstract. The explicit form of the quantum propagator of a bosonic p -brane, previously obtained by the authors in the quenched-minisuperspace approximation, suggests the possibility of a novel, unified, description of p -branes with different dimensionality. The background metric that emerges in this framework is a quadratic form on a Clifford manifold. Substitution of the Lorentzian metric with the Clifford line element has two far reaching consequences. On the one hand, it changes the very structure of the spacetime fabric since the new metric is built out of a *minimum length* below which it is impossible to resolve the distance between two points; on the other hand, the introduction of the Clifford line element extends the usual relativity of motion to the case of *relative dimensionalism* of all p -branes that make up the spacetime manifold near the Planck scale.

PACS number: 11.17

Submitted to: *Class. Quantum Grav.*

[†] e-mail address: aaurilia@csupomona.edu

[§] e-mail address: ansoldi@trieste.infn.it

[¶] e-mail address: spallucci@trieste.infn.it

1. Introduction

A surface that appears smooth to the naked eye, looks rough when seen under a microscope. With increasing power of resolution, that seemingly 2-dimensional surface may reveal its thickness and eventually its atomic “graininess”, at which point the very definition of dimensionality becomes fuzzy.

It has long been conjectured that the same concept applies to the spacetime manifold: the short distance spacetime geometry and topology are subject to violent quantum fluctuations [1]. By “short distance”, in this context, one usually means the Planck scale, and, by “violent”, we mean that the quantum fluctuations in the geometry are of the same order of magnitude as the background metric, so that the smooth spacetime manifold of classical physics breaks down into a quantum foam of virtual gravitational bubbles [2] and other “extendons”, or p -branes [3]. In this perspective, it is to be expected that quantizing the classical theory of gravitation, namely, General Relativity, is a hopeless task. At the Planck scale, where quantum effects are deemed to become relevant, spacetime may not even be a primitive concept. Rather than being a pre-existing, albeit dynamical, background as in General Relativity, spacetime is an “emergent property”, that is, a low energy, effective construct of the truly fundamental objects it is made of. Let us call “ p -cells”, as opposed to p -branes, the elementary simplexes that constitute the *pre-geometric quanta* of the brane world manifold. p -cells are model manifolds that form the basis of algebraic topology, and can be defined independently of any spacetime background. Physical spacetime emerges from a *mapping*, X , of p -cells into a target manifold. The *image* of a collection of p -cell under the mapping X corresponds to a physical p -brane⁺. Thus, a 0-cell, that is a point with no spatial dimensions, corresponds to a world-line in spacetime; a 1-cell, or line element, corresponds to a “spacetime strip”, or world-sheet element, and so on, all the way up to the maximal rank $(D - 1)$ -brane enclosing an elementary spacetime D -volume.

By analogy with a superconducting medium, which consists of a condensate of Cooper pairs, classical spacetime may be regarded as a sort of string or brane condensate [4], [5]. Near some critical scale, presumably the Planck energy, the spacetime manifold “evaporates” into its fundamental constituents, i.e., strings and branes of various dimensionality. In this extreme phase, spacetime consists of a “gas of branes”, each subject to quantum fluctuations of its shape. The *shape quantum mechanics* of p -branes was discussed in detail in previous papers [6].

Keeping in mind the scenario outlined above, in this paper we wish to introduce a new kind of quantum fluctuation. Specifically, *we will show that, at the Planck scale, not only shapes and topology fluctuate, but the very dimensionality “ p ” of a p -brane becomes a quantum variable.*

This result follows from an explicit calculation of the quantum propagator of a bosonic p -brane in a new type of approximation [7]. Let us recall that the world-history of an

⁺ Here and in the following, by convention, “ p ” stands for the order of the simplex, or the *spatial* dimension of its image, so that a p -brane is really a $(p + 1)$ -dimensional submanifold in spacetime.

extended object is the *mapping* of a p -cell, i.e., a topological simplex of order “ p ”, to a physical p -brane, and is usually encoded into an action of Dirac–Nambu–Goto type, or extensions of it[3]. From the action, in principle, one may deduce the Green function by evaluating the path integral over an appropriate set of p -brane histories[6]. In practice, the quantum propagator of a bosonic p -brane cannot be computed exactly in closed form. Thus, in a recent paper [7] we borrowed the *minisuperspace quantization scheme* from Quantum Cosmology [8] and the *quenching approximation* from QCD [9] in order to derive a new form of the bosonic p -brane propagator.

The “minisuperspace approximation” is currently used to quantize restricted families of cosmological models. Invariance under general coordinate transformations is broken down to the restricted symmetry under coordinates changes that preserve the form of the cosmic line element. We have extended this approach from four dimensional spacetime to the $p + 1$ dimensional world manifold of the p -brane. Selecting a restricted form of the world manifold metric (not to be confused with the target spacetime metric) allows one to separate the center of mass dynamics from the p -brane deformations.

” Quenching ”, on the other hand, is a non-perturbative approximation scheme currently implemented in gauge theories. The system is quantized in a (large) “box” where only long wavelength fluctuations are quantized. High frequency modes are “frozen”. For a Yang-Mills type gauge theory, dealing with long wavelengths means an infrared, non-perturbative, regime. In this approximation $SU(N)$ gauge theory turns into a sort of matrix quantum mechanics amenable to large- N expansion. The presence of extended objects in the large- N spectrum of matrix Yang-Mills models is an old problem with some new results [10]. In [7] we reversed the above line of reasoning and quenched the high frequency modes of the brane history in target spacetime.

Combining those two techniques, i.e., minisuperspace in the world manifold and quenching in the target spacetime, leads to an expression for the propagator that describes the *boundary dynamics* of the brane [6] rather than the spectrum of the bulk small oscillations. This new approximation includes both the center of mass quantum motion in target spacetime as well as the *collective deformations* of the brane as described by transitions between different (hyper-)volume quantum states. The latter quantum jumps account for the volume variations induced by local deformations of the brane shape, and generalize the “areal quantum dynamics”, originally formulated by Eguchi in the case of strings [11], to the case of higher dimensional objects.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we review the essential factorization of the exact propagator in the minisuperspace, quenched approximation. By analogy with the familiar case of the point-like particle, we extract the “ dispersion relation ” for a p -brane and the line element of the underlying spacetime geometry.

Section 3 deals with the second result that we wish to communicate in this paper, namely, the extension of Heisenberg’s principle in ordinary Quantum Mechanics to the Planck Uncertainty Principle which is anticipated in String Theory.

Section 4 concludes the paper with a brief summary of our discussions.

2. Minisuperspace, Quenched Propagator

The propagator calculated within the combined approximation described in the introduction takes the following form in the Feynman parametrization scheme:

$$G_p(x - x_0, \sigma) = \frac{i}{2M_0} \int_0^\infty ds \exp \left\{ i s \frac{M_0}{2} (p+1) \right\} K_{cm}(x - x_0; s) K_p(\sigma; s) . \quad (1)$$

Here, $K_{cm}(x - x_0; s)$ represents the propagation amplitude of the brane center of mass in a D -dimensional target spacetime $\mathcal{M}$, from the initial position x_0 to the final one, i.e., x :

$$K_{cm}(x - x_0; s) = \left(\frac{\pi M_0}{is} \right)^{D/2} \exp \left[i \frac{M_0}{2s} (x - x_0)^2 \right] . \quad (2)$$

This part of the complete propagator encodes the free motion of the object as a whole. When observed with poor resolution the brane looks like an “effective point particle” of mass M_0 concentrated at the center of mass. M_0 is defined by:

$$M_0 \equiv m_{p+1} V_p \quad (3)$$

where m_{p+1} is the brane *tension* and V_p is the proper volume of the p -dimensional boundary of the brane world manifold. The quantum deformations of the object are *globally* described by the “volume propagator” $K_p(\sigma; s)$; it represents the transition amplitude between the vacuum, i.e., a zero volume state, and a 1-brane state of finite proper volume V_p^* :

$$K_p(\sigma; s) = \left(\frac{M_0}{i\pi V_p^2 s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \binom{D}{p+1}} \exp \left[\frac{i}{(p+1)!} \frac{M_0}{2s V_p^2} \sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \sigma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \right] . \quad (4)$$

Let us denote by $y^\mu \equiv y^\mu(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^p)$ the embedding functions of the world manifold boundary; then, the volume multivector

$$\sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \equiv \int y^{\mu_1} dy^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{\mu_{p+1}} , \quad p \geq 1 \quad (5)$$

describes the target spacetime volume enclosed by the brane.

Notice that the vacuum-to-vacuum propagator, i.e. the transition amplitude between two “poinlike branes”, is simply a Dirac delta-function

$$\lim_{V_p \rightarrow 0} K_p(\sigma; s) = \delta(\sigma) . \quad (6)$$

It may be helpful, before proceeding further with our discussion, to get a “feeling” of the quantum propagator by checking the consistency of our formulation against some well known cases of physical interest. The precise details of the derivation are in Ref.[7]. The expression (1) of the minisuperspace, quenched, propagator holds for any D and

* Within the quenching approximation, p -branes with different shapes, but equal volume, are considered to be physically equivalent.

$p \geq 0$. Two special cases will illustrate how the “machine” works.

As we mentioned in the introduction, the model manifold of a pointlike particle is a 0-cell of vanishing proper volume $V_0 = 0$. The form of (4) is simply

$$K_{p=0}(\sigma; s) = \delta(0) = 1 \quad (7)$$

and the full propagator reduces to the familiar one:

$$G_{p=0}(x - x_0, 0) = \frac{i}{2M_0} \int_0^\infty ds \exp\left(i s \frac{M_0}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\pi M_0}{i s}\right)^{D/2} \exp\left[i \frac{M_0}{2s} (x - x_0)^2\right]. \quad (8)$$

In our description, a string represents the target spacetime image of a collection γ of 1-cells. Thus, the volume multivector collapses into the *loop-coordinates* [11]

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} \equiv \oint_\gamma y^\mu dy^\nu \quad (9)$$

while $V_1 \equiv l_\gamma$ is the string *proper length*. The resulting propagator takes the following form

$$G_p(x - x_0, \sigma) = \frac{i}{2M_0} \int_0^\infty ds \exp(i s M_0) K_{cm}(x - x_0; s) \left(\frac{M_0}{i\pi^2 l_\gamma^2 s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{D}{2}} \times \exp\left[\frac{i}{2} \frac{M_0}{s l_\gamma^2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}\right] \quad (10)$$

with $M_0 = l_\gamma m_2 \equiv l_\gamma/2\pi\alpha'$.

Returning now to the general case, it is convenient to rewrite the expression (1) in “momentum space”. By Fourier transforming (1) with the center of mass momentum k_μ and volume momentum $K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}$, we obtain :

$$G_p(x - x_0, \sigma) = \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \exp[i k_\mu (x - x_0)^\mu] \times \int [dK] \exp\left[\frac{i}{(p+1)!} K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}\right] \frac{1}{k^2 + (p+1) M_0^2 + \frac{V_p^2}{(p+1)!} K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}^2}. \quad (11)$$

where $\int [dK](\dots)$ is a generalized gaussian integral.

Inspection of the Feynman–Green function (11) reveals a strong similarity with the propagator of a “pointlike” probe, provided one interprets the pair of conjugate volume variables (σ, K) as new “coordinates” in an extended phase space [12].

One may regard the expression (11) as the propagator of a generic p -brane combined with its barycentric coordinate, or as a pointlike probe moving in a spacetime with metric [13] :

$$d\Sigma^2 = dx^\mu dx_\mu + \frac{1}{(p+1)! V_p^2} d\sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} d\sigma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}. \quad (12)$$

The key result of this paper is the *equivalence* between the quantum propagator (11) and the “classical” motion of a pointlike probe in the geometry defined by the line element (12). In the string case, i.e., $p = 1$, the size of the areal corrections is determined by the proper length l_γ . In the general case the constitutive elements of the geometry (12) are smeared over a finite volume which is the target spacetime image of the proper volume V_p .

Whenever $V_p^2 K^2 \ll k^2$, “the volume modes” are not excited and the propagator reduces to a vanishing volume form

$$\begin{aligned} G_p(x - x_0, \sigma) &\approx \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \exp[i k_\mu (x - x_0)^\mu] \times \\ &\quad \int [dK] \exp \left[\frac{i}{(p+1)!} K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \right] \frac{1}{k^2 + (p+1) M_0^2} \\ &= \delta(\sigma) \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \exp[i k_\mu (x - x_0)^\mu] \frac{1}{k^2 + (p+1) M_0^2} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Accordingly, the probe “sees” only the Minkowski sub-space of (12). However, as soon as energy is transferred into the volume modes, and $V_p^2 K^2$ becomes comparable with k^2 , new dimensional channels open up, because of the extended nature of the object. Alternatively, volume quantum transitions can be interpreted as an effect due to the new kind of geometry tested by the probe. The metric element (12) corresponds to the $(0, p)$ sub-space of the *Clifford line “element”*:

$$\begin{aligned} d\Sigma^2 &= dx^\mu dx_\mu + \frac{1}{2! l^2} d\sigma^{\mu_1 \mu_2} d\sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2} + \frac{1}{3! l^4} d\sigma^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} d\sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} + \dots + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(p+1)! l^{2p}} d\sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} d\sigma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{D! l^{2(D-1)}} d\sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_D} d\sigma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_D} . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The line element (14) takes into account the whole range $0 \leq p \leq D - 1$. As a mathematical construct, the line element (14) has long been known, at least to some practitioners of Clifford algebras. For instance, Pezzaglia has introduced it to discuss the long standing problem of a classical spinning particle [13][14], while Castro and Pavsic studied both higher order derivative gravity and ordering ambiguity in this geometric background [15]. In this new context, Eq. (14) may be interpreted as an extension of the usual Lorentzian line element.

To our mind, however, the Clifford line element establishes a mathematical and physical link to the “unified theory of relativistic extended objects”, which has been under consideration by the authors for a number of years. Indeed, the whole cardinal concept of *relativity of motion* may be extended to the broader context of *relativity of dimensions*. By “relative dimensionalism”, we mean that the new Clifford metric opens the possibility of transformations between different p -branes, so that their effective dimensionality, and the very geometry of spacetime, becomes *resolution dependent*.

The *polydimensional propagator* corresponding to the general line element (14) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{poly.}(x - x_0, \sigma) = & \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \exp[i k_\mu (x - x_0)^\mu] \times \\
& \Pi_{p=1}^{D-1} \left\{ \int [\mathcal{D}K]_p \exp \left[\frac{i}{(p+1)!} K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} (\sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}) \right] \right\} \times \\
& \frac{1}{k^2 + M_{poly.}^2 + \sum_{p=1}^{D-1} \left[\frac{V_p^2}{(p+1)!} K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}^2 \right]}. \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

The object represented by (15) is a *quantum superposition of diverse- p branes*. We call it *Planckion*, because its length scale will be shown in the next section to be comparable with the Planck Length. The Planckion is the target spacetime mapping of the whole simplicial complex of elementary p -cells.

In this new framework, there is no essential difference among objects with different dimensions, there is only one fundamental object, the Planckion. In euclidean three dimensional space, one can rotate the frame of reference and set to zero one or two components of an ordinary vector. Similarly, a “rotation” in the Clifford geometry (14) allows to project the Planckion on a sub-space of definite dimension. By selecting a definite- p classical action one picks up the $(0, p)$ component of the Planckion. In order to reproduce the full propagator (15) one should start from a classical action of the form [7]

$$S_{Pl} = S_{cm} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{D-1} \left\{ \int d^{p+1} \sigma \sqrt{\gamma_p} \left[\gamma_p^{mn} \partial_m Y_p^\mu \partial_n Y_{\mu p} - p m_{p+1} \right] \right\} \quad (16)$$

where S_{cm} is the action of the center of mass of a polydimensional brane. The quantization of the classical model (16), leading to the propagator (15), will be discussed in a separate paper. In the next section we shall justify the choice of the term Planckion by showing how the proper volume V_p , or the fundamental scale $l = [V_p]^{1/p}$, is related to the Planck length through a generalized Uncertainty Principle.

3. Generalized Uncertainty Principle

In order to substantiate the connection between the Clifford line element and the short distance structure of spacetime, it is worth recalling that the generalized Lorentzian metric (14) calls for the introduction of a fundamental length, l , or energy scale l^{-1} , in the fabric of spacetime. The distinct novelty, and advantage, of the Clifford line element is that scalars, vectors, bivectors, or p -vectors can be summed together in the same metric formula, or, in brane language, a definite- p object unfolds its basic polydimensional nature over distances comparable with l .

In order to clarify the physical meaning of the fundamental scale l , let us consider again the $(0, p)$ component of the Planckion propagator. The new *tension-shell* condition, or “dispersion relation”, defined by the vanishing of the denominator in Eq.(11) is:

$$k^2 + \frac{V_p^2}{(p+1)!} K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}^2 + (p+1) M_0^2 = 0. \quad (17)$$

Real branes satisfy the constraint (17) which correlates center of mass and volume momentum squared, which are independent quantities for *virtual* branes. Let us further parametrize the square of the volume momentum in terms of the modulus of the center of mass four momentum $\sharp$:

$$\frac{1}{(p+1)!} K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}^2 \equiv \beta k^2 (k^2)^p, \quad (19)$$

We expect the volume modes to be excited only when the center of mass wavelength becomes comparable with the length scale of the volume V_p . Accordingly, the proportionality constant β is expected to be an $O(1)$ number. Thus, the tension shell condition (17) can be written as a $(p+1)$ -degree polynomial in k^2 . It is convenient, at this point, to restore the explicit presence of Planck's constant in the above equation. Thus, the “tension shell condition” can be cast in the more familiar form of mass-shell condition for a pointlike particle by incorporating the extra k^2 -dependence within an effective, or *running Planck constant* [16]

$$\hbar^{\text{eff.}} \equiv \hbar [1 + V_p^2 \beta (k^2)^p]^{1/2} \longrightarrow (\hbar^{\text{eff.}} k)^2 + (p+1) M_0^2 = 0. \quad (20)$$

From here follows the generalized form of the Uncertainty Principle :

$$\Delta x^\mu \Delta k_\mu \geq \langle \hbar^{\text{eff.}} \rangle, \quad (21)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes vacuum average.

The physical content of (21) emerges in the non-relativistic limit, in which case we drop out 0-components, and rotate the reference frame in such a way that the x -axis coincides with the direction of the center of mass position vector $\vec{x}$:

$$\Delta x \Delta k_x \geq \langle \hbar^{\text{eff.}} \rangle \approx \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} V_p^2 \beta (\langle k_x^2 \rangle)^p + \dots \right). \quad (22)$$

Finally, by taking into account the translational invariance of the vacuum, that is, $\langle k_x \rangle = 0$, we can relate momentum uncertainty and momentum fluctuation as follows, $\Delta k_x \equiv \sqrt{\langle k_x^2 \rangle - \langle k_x \rangle^2} = \sqrt{\langle k_x^2 \rangle}$.

Thus, the position uncertainty reads

$$\Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta k_x} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} V_p^2 \beta (\Delta k_x)^{2p} + \dots \right]. \quad (23)$$

This formula holds for any p , and therefore it is applicable to strings as well. Thus, comparing with the “string uncertainty principle” [17]

$$\Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta k_x} [1 + \text{const.} \times G_N (\Delta k_x)^2], \quad (24)$$

$\sharp$

$$[k_\mu] = (\text{length})^{-1}, \quad [k_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}] = (\text{length})^{-(p+1)} \quad (18)$$

we find that the proper volume of the collection of p -cells is proportional to the Planck volume

$$V_p \propto (l_{Pl})^p = (\hbar G_N)^{p/2} . \quad (25)$$

The standard procedure, at this point, is to minimize the position uncertainty

$$\frac{d(\Delta x)}{d(\Delta k_x)} = 0 \implies (\Delta k_x)_{min}^2 = \left[\frac{2}{(2p-1) \beta (\hbar G_N)^p} \right]^{1/p}, \quad p \geq 1 \quad (26)$$

so that, by inserting (26) in (23), one gets

$$(\Delta x)_{min} \propto \hbar l_{Pl} . \quad (27)$$

According to this fundamental condition, strings, or any p -brane, or test body for that matter, cannot probe distances shorter than l_{Pl} . Thus, the length scale l , originally introduced in the line element (14) for purely dimensional reasons, can now be related to a *minimum universal length*^{††}.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

The main results of this paper can be summarized as follows. We started from a new type of Minisuperspace propagator encoding both the motion of a bosonic p -brane as a whole, and its volume evolution. The spacelike volume of the brane, expressed in terms of the multivector $\sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}$, is the mapping of the world manifold proper volume V_p . The ensuing result can be interpreted as a standard propagator in a non-standard geometry in which both vector x^μ and $\sigma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}$ play the role of “coordinates”. This non-standard geometry is part of a Clifford line element where points, lines, volumes, can be summed together thanks to the presence of a suitable constant with length dimension. In our approach, this length scale is fixed by the form of the propagator, i.e., $l = (V_p)^{1/p}$. For $p = 1$, V_1 is the string proper length and results to be proportional to the Planck Length l_{Pl} . The target spacetime counterpart of this fundamental length scale is a minimum distance entering a generalized Uncertainty Principle derived from our propagator. Thus, the Clifford line element naturally encodes the notion of minimum distance as a consequence of quantum effects. On the other hand, the Principle of Dimensional Democracy implemented in the Clifford geometry, provides a unification principle underlying p -brane dynamics at the Planck scale.

Acknowledgments

We are very much in debt to William Pezzaglia Jr. and Theodore G. Erler IV for many enlightening and stimulating conversations about the meaning and possible applications of Clifford’s Calculus.

Two of us, S.A. and E.S., would like to thank Prof.M. Pavsic and Prof. C.P.Castro for some useful discussions during their visit at the Department of Theoretical Physics of the University of Trieste.

^{††}The necessity and role of a minimum length in quantum gravity is reviewed in Ref.[18].

References

- [1] J. A. Wheeler, “ *Geometrodynamics and the Issue of the Final State*,” in C.DeWitt and B.S.DeWitt ed., “ *Relativity Group and Topology*,” Gordon and Breach 1964; “ *Superspace and the Nature of Quantum Geometrodynamics*,” in C.DeWitt and B.S.DeWitt ed., “ *Batelle Rencontres: 1967 Lectures in Mathematics and Physics*,” Benjamin, NY, 1968;
- [2] S. W. Hawking, Nucl. Phys. **B144** (1978) 349
- [3] A. Aurilia, G. Denardo, F. Legovini, E. Spallucci, Nucl. Phys. **B252**, (1985) 523
V.A. Berezin, V.A. Kuzmin, I.I. Tkachev, Phys.Rev.**D36**, (1987) 2919
A. Aurilia, M. Palmer, E. Spallucci, Phys. Rev. **D40** (1989) 2511
S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, R. Balbinot, E. Spallucci, Phys. Essays **9**, (1996) 556
S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, R. Balbinot, E. Spallucci, Class. Quant. Grav. **14**,(1997) 2727
- [4] E. S. Fradkin, A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B261** (1985) 1;
C. G. Callan, D. Friedman, E. J. Martinec, M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. **B262** (1985) 593;
- [5] A. Aurilia, A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, Class. Quantum Grav. **9** (1992) 1883
S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, E. Spallucci, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **B10** (1996) 1695
- [6] S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E. Spallucci, Class. Quant. Grav. **16** (1999) 1833
S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, E. Spallucci, Chaos Solitons Fractals **10** (1999) 197
S. Ansoldi, *Boundary versus Bulk Dynamics of Extended Objects and the Fractal Structure of Quantum SpaceTime* Ph.D. Thesis, University of Trieste, Italy (1998), unpublished.
S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, E. Spallucci, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 2352
S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, E. Spallucci, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 870
- [7] S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, C. Castro, E. Spallucci, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 026003
- [8] J. V. Narlikar, T. Padmanabhan, “ *Gravity, Gauge Theories and Quantum Cosmology*,” D.Reidel Publ. Co., 1986
- [9] H. Hamber , G. Parisi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1792, (1981);
E. Marinari, G. Parisi, C. Rebbi, Phys. Lett. **47**, 1795, (1981);
D.H.Weingarten, Phys. Lett. **B109**, 57, (1982)
- [10] S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E.Spallucci Phys.Lett. B504 (2001) 174;
S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E. Spallucci Class.Quant.Grav. 18 (2001) L17;
S.Ansoldi, C.Castro, E.Spallucci Class.Quant.Grav. 18 (2001) 2865-2876;
S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E.I. Guendelman, E.Spallucci *Nambu-Goto Strings from SU(N) Born-Infeld model* hep-th/0201018
- [11] T. Eguchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 126 (1980)
- [12] A. T. Ogielski Phys. Rev. D**22** (1980) 2407
- [13] W. M. Pezzaglia Jr., “*Physical Applications of a Generalized Clifford Calculus (Papapetrou equations and Metamorphic Curvature)*” gr-qc/9710027;
- [14] W. M. Pezzaglia Jr., (1996) “ *Polydimensional Relativity, a Classical Generalization of the Automorphism Invariance Principle*” in: Proceed. of the 4th Conference on Clifford Algebras and their Applications in Mathematical Physics, Lehrstuhl II, für Math., Aachen, Germany, (1996), K. Habetha ed.; gr-qc/9608052;
W. M. Pezzaglia Jr., “ *Dimensionally Democratic Calculus and Principles of Polydimensional Physics*,” Proceed. of the 5th Int. Conf. on Clifford Algebras and their Applications in Math. Phys., Ixtapa-Zihuatanejo, Mexico, (1999), (R. Ablamowicz and B. Fauser eds.); gr-qc/9912025.
- [15] C. Castro, M. Pavsic *Higher Derivative Gravity and Torsion from the Geometry of C-spaces*, hep-th/0110079;
M. Pavsic *How the Geometric Calculus Resolves the Ordering Ambiguity of Quantum Theory in Curved space*, gr-qc/0111092
- [16] C.Castro, “ *The String Uncertainty Relations follow from the New Relativity Principle* hep-th/0001023
- [17] P. F. Mende, “ *String Theory at Short Distance and the Principle of Equivalence*; hep-th/9210001

- M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. **B304** (1993) 65
M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. **B319** (1993) 83
M. Maggiore, Phys.Rev. **D49** (1994) 5182
A. Strominger, “ *Quantum Gravity and String Theory, What Have We Learned?*; hep-th/9110011
[18] L. J. Garay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A10** (1995) 145